

Created by: Great Lakes Equity Center

May 2015





#### **About Great Lakes Equity Center**

Great Lakes Equity Center is one of ten regional Equity Assistance Centers funded by the U.S. Department of Education under Title IV of the 1964 Civil Rights Act. The Center serves the public educational agencies in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin by providing a wide range of technical assistance supports.

The mission of the Center is, to ensure equity in student access to and participation in high quality, research-based education by expanding states' and school systems' capacity to provide robust, effective opportunities to learn for all students, regardless of and responsive to race, sex, and national origin, and to reduce disparities in educational outcomes among and between groups.



#### **About This Tool**

This review guide outlines six analytical domains to support the examination of regulatory documents (e.g., policies and procedures) with a particular focus on educational equity. Its purpose is to provide a process and tool by which stakeholders can engage in critical examination of and reflection on the policies that shape and inform daily practices.

#### Preparing to engage in Critical Reflection

To prepare for critical reflection on policies, consider the following key framing questions:

- 1. What is the intent behind the policy being reviewed?
- 2. What social constructions does this policy embrace?
- 3. Who benefits from the way things are and who does not? (Freire, 1998)
- 4. What actions will redress the inequities we see in our policies? (Kozleski & Waitoller, 2010)

#### **Policy Review Instructions:**

For each item, rate the extent to which the policy being reviewed reflects the policy review domain. Provide a rationale statement to support your rating. Propose a modification, addition or deletion to the policy related to the domain for changing, improving or enhancing the policy. Indicate whether the proposed change is a recommendation (revision needed to adequately address the domain) or a consideration (revision that would reflect best practice).

LEGAL				
Rate the extent to which the policy:	To A Great Extent	Somewhat	Very Little	Not At All
Satisfies/meets relevant legal mandates (e.g. Title IX, IDEA, Title III etc.)				
Rationale/ Explanation:				
□ Recommendation or □ Consideration				

RESEARCH BASE				
Rate the extent to which the policy:	To A Great Extent	Somewhat	Very Little	Not At
Reflects principles derived from scholarly research that will likely bring about effective outcomes for <u>all</u> students				
Rationale/ Explanation:				
□ Recommendation or □ Consideration				

To A Great Extent	Somewhat	Very Little	Not At All

Copyright © 2014 by Great Lakes Equity Center

EFFICIENT				
Rate the extent to which the policy:	To A Great Extent	Somewhat	Very Little	Not At All
Provides or call for, the development of procedures that delineate use of personnel, time, financial, and other resources				
Rationale/ Explanation:				
□ Recommendation or □ Consideration				

EDUCATIVE				
Rate the extent to which the policy:	To A Great Extent	Somewhat	Very Little	Not At All
Adequately informs stakeholders about the rationale, purpose and scope of its application for appropriate decision-making and practice (i.e., afford and constrain decision making)				
Provides examples of behaviors the demonstrate violation of the policy and examples of behaviors demonstrating compliance				
Rationale/ Explanation:	_			
□ Recommendation or □ Consideration				

ACCOUNTABLE				
Rate the extent to which the policy:	To A Great Extent	Somewhat	Very Little	Not At All
Specifies responsibilities and provide clear lines of accountability				
Rationale/ Explanation:				
□ Recommendation or □ Consideration				

OVERALL SUMMATIVE STATEMENT
Drawing across your ratings, to what extent will this policy produce results that meet authentic needs (i.e. will the policy practices result in the desired outcomes), AND to what extent is its implementation clear and feasible?



- Defense, L. L. (2001). A Guide to Effective Statewide Laws: Policies: Preventing Discrimination Against LGBT Students in K-12 Schools. ERIC Clearinghouse.
- Kozleski, E.B., & Skelton, S.M. (2007). Culturally Responsive Leadership [PowerPoint slides]. Retrieved from the National Center for Culturally Responsive Systems.
- McLaughlin,I., & Mongeon,.DJ.(2012). Change Lab Solutions. Eight Essential Elements for Strong Public Health Policies. [PowerPoint slides]. Retrieved from: http://changelabsolutions.org/sites/default/files/documents/
  Eight\_Essential\_Elements\_for\_Strong\_Public\_Health\_20120113.pdf
- New Jersey Department of Education, (2011). Model policy and guidance for prohibiting harassment, intimidation, and bullying on school property, at school-sponsored functions and on school buses. Retrieved from: http://www.state.nj.us/education/parents/bully.pdf
- Russell, S. T., Kosciw, J., Horn, S., & Saewyc, E. (2010). Safe Schools Policy for LGBTQ Students. Social Policy Report. Volume 24, Number 4. Society for Research in Child Development.
- Skiba, R. J. (2000). Zero tolerance, zero evidence. An analysis of school disciplinary practice (Policy Research Report SRS2): Indiana Education Policy Center.

# IMPACT:

Educate, Engage, Empower — For Equity



#### **Great Lakes Equity Center**

902 West New York St. Indianapolis, IN 46202 317-278-3493 - glec@iupui.edu glec.education.iupui.edu



#### **IUPUI School of Education**

902 West New York St. Indianapolis, IN 46202 317-274-6801 - Ilines@iupui.edu education.iupui.edu

**Disclaimer:** Great Lakes Equity Center is committed to the sharing of information regarding issues of equity in education. The contents of this practitioner brief were developed under a grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the federal government.

